

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,243 09/17/2003		Craig S. Haehn	28679/05404	3312
24024	7590 07/07/2004		EXAMINER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			LUEBKE, RENEE S	
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND	O, OH 44114		2833	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/605,243	HAEHN & JOHNSON & LARSON & CUSTER					
,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Renee S. Luebke	2833					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2004.							
	action is non-final.						
<i>'</i>	<u>,                                     </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-17 is/are pending in the a	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-11,13,14 and 17</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,9-11,13,14 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>7,8,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>7,8,15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
•	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 May 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/04 & 4/5/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Application Number: 10/605,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The information disclosure statement filed March 26, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The information disclosure statement filed April 5, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(c) because it lacks the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p). Contrary to applicant's statement, it was not filed "within three months of the filing date of the application or date of entry into the national stage of an international application or before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits." This IDS also fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. The IDS has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP§ 609 ¶ C(1).

- 2. The substitute drawings were received on May 24, 2004. These drawings are acceptable to the examiner.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because the reference to a "rubber, wire seal 15" and a "rubber seal 15" in paragraph 17 is not consistent with the remainder of the disclosure. The structure now identified as a seal 15 is apparently the threaded portion of the connector body that mates with the threaded collar 34. If this structure 15 is a seal, to what does the collar attach? In addition, although

Application Number: 10/605,243 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

the seal is identified as a "rubber, wire seal" the structure identified as 15 does not interact with a wire. Contrary to applicant's remarks and specification changes, the seal does not appear to be properly identified. Appropriate corrections are required.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 1-6, 9-11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameyama. This connector comprises a connector body 7, wires 52, a spacer 6, a printed circuit board 2, a lens 10f, and a collar 3. On the board is a camera, instead of an LED. However, the purpose and features on a circuit board are known to be exchangeable based on a user's needs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to include an LED for indication purposes on the board of Kameyama. The contacts on the circuit board are seen to be solderless prior to the application of the solder. In addition, the wires may be removed prior to such application or even after (by heating the solder) thereby resulting in a removable contact.
- 6. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Snyder, et al. This connector comprises a connector body 41, wires 31 extending through the body, and a printed circuit board 13 with solderless connectors 17.

Application Number: 10/605,243 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roberts and Stefaniu, et al. are further examples of wires attached to a circuit board with solderless contacts.

- 8. Claims 7, 8, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to: (703) 872-9306

  Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Kenee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

July 2, 2004